# EXHIBIT 2

February 21, 2020

1	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF OKLAHOMA COUNTY STATE OF OKLAHOMA
2	STATE OF OKLAHOMA
3	TAMMY COVINGTON and ) JEFFREY COVINGTON, )
4	Plaintiffs )
5	) ) Case No. 19-cv-00718-PRW
6	) case No. 15 ev ou/18 PRW
7	CSAA FIRE AND CASUALTY ) INSURANCE d/b/a AAA FIRE )
8	AND CASUALTY INSURANCE ) COMPANY, INC. )
9	Defendants )
10	,
11	
12	
13	DEPOSITION OF JEFFREY COVINGTON
14	TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT
15	IN OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA
16	ON FEBRUARY 21, 2020
17	9:04 a.m.
18	
19	
20	REPORTED BY: WENDY SMITH, CSR
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You spoke to Heather Davis shortly after you met with Ian on Mother's Day, correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Was it within a day or two of Mother's Day?
- A. I believe I called and talked to the switchboard operator on Monday, probably, and I'm not sure if Heather Davis called that day or the next day. I believe it was pretty quickly after that.
- Q. Okay. You had that first conversation, and you mentioned that she had told you something about mitigation, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And did she say that she would follow up, or what was the ending of that first conversation with Heather Davis?
  - A. I believe she said that she was going to get a -- an estimator out to come look at it from her company and -- and mitigate.
  - Q. Okay. So are you talking about, when you say "estimator," like a field adjuster?
    - A. Right.
  - Q. Okay. And did you speak with Heather Davis after that first phone call, at any time thereafter?
  - A. I don't remember. I don't think I did.
    - Q. Okay. Do you know if your wife ever spoke with



1	with MetLife were very very genuine, very kind.		
2	There had been times maybe we had some disagreements,		
3	but we'd always come back together.		
4	Q. Right.		
5	A. And it never got loud or unprofessional. And		
6	that entire conversation may have started		
7	professionally, but after just a few seconds, it		
8	turned it turned bad.		
9	Q. Do you think Heather Davis may have been a		
10	little shocked that AAA was first contacted nine months		
11	after the actual incident took place?		
12	A. I		
13	MS. MACKEY: Object to form.		
14	You may answer.		
15	A. I would I would assume that.		
16	Q. (BY MR. HEFNER) Particularly when the contract		
17	says that you have a duty to promptly notify AAA of a		
18	loss, correct?		
19	MS. MACKEY: Object to form.		
20	You can answer.		
21	A. I I would assume so, yes.		
22	Q. (BY MR. HEFNER) Okay. Because we're all		
23	human, and sometimes things can be shocking, and maybe		
24	that was the		



And I understand that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

21

February 21, 2020 153

- Q. Okay. But Heather Davis didn't tell you that the claim was denied at that time, did she?
  - A. No.
- Q. Okay. But at some point you were informed that the claim was denied, correct?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. And how did you first come to be aware of that?
- A. Ian invited us to come to his office, and maybe -- maybe he mailed it. Maybe the letter was mailed to us. I think he knew before we did, though, that it was going to be denied by Danielle Perez. And he talked to her on the phone. She was very nice, very cordial on the phone, very different from the other conversations that any of us had had with -- with Heather Davis or Alan. I don't remember his last name,
- but -- and she just pleasantly said, "Yeah, this is going to be denied."
- Q. Okay. So a letter was sent for denial,
- 19 | correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
  - Q. Did you see that letter?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you read that letter?
- A. I believe I did. I don't remember what it said exactly.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

February 21, 2020 158

- Q. The main drain. But did you ever determine on your own if it was, in fact, an issue with the main drain line or with the AC condenser?
  - A. I did -- I did not.
- Q. Okay. But AAA sent out -- the first sentence of the paragraph that we're reading from says, "Alan Heise, a AAA field adjuster, Matthew Amick with Hi-Tech Plumbing, and Danny Griffin with Boardwalk Flooring."

So they had three different people look at your home; is that correct?

- A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And together they came up with the conclusion that the AC condenser drain line had an issue with it that allowed for constant repeated seepage of leakage water. I'm not saying you agree with that. In fact, I know you don't. You've filed a lawsuit.

But do you think it may have been reasonable for them to reach that conclusion?

MS. MACKEY: Object to the form.

- A. I believe that there was some sweating of the pipe under the house.
  - Q. (BY MR. HEFNER) Okay.
  - A. That is all I've ever seen.
- Q. Okay. When have you seen sweating on that pipe?



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let me back up. What pipe are you talking about, the AC drain line?

- A. Under the floor.
- O. Of the AC unit?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. When do you remember seeing sweating on that pipe?
- A. When I went down there however long it was from the time that that happened until later. A few days after, it was not leaking on anything. It was dripping straight onto the dirt.
- Q. Okay. So the event that you described earlier was on August 8, 2017, and there was standing water underneath your AC unit. We've established that.
  - A. (Witness nods head.)
- Q. And you're saying a day or two, maybe three later, when you were underneath the crawl space, you saw sweating on the drain line from the AC unit, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So how is it that you're separating -- or let me back up.
- I need to ask this: That sounds like there was still an issue with the drain line if there was condensate or liquid on the outside of the pipe, right?
  - A. Water had drained through the subfloor into



three?

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. I mean, that's a semantic battle, I guess, and I totally appreciate your disagreement with that. That's your right to disagree.
- I'd like to turn now to the petition that's been filed in this case. Have you seen this petition,

  Mr. Covington?
  - A. I believe I have.
  - Q. Okay. Before we actually get into it, I'd like to ask you -- we just looked at a letter dated July 19th, 2018, from AAA -- and I've already lost it -- and it was from Danielle Perez.
    - And I think you had testified earlier that your understanding of Danielle Perez is that she's been nothing but kind and reasonable; is that correct? Maybe not reasonable, in your opinion, but she's at least been kind?
      - A. She was professional.
    - Q. Professional. Okay. And Danielle Perez sent the letter on July 19th, and I think she also sent the letter on July 10th, 2018. Okay. That's correct.
  - Did you ever speak directly with Danielle Perez yourself?
  - A. No.
    - Q. Okay. And so as far as you know, you spoke



1	with Hea	ther Davis once?	
2	A.	(Witness nods head.)	
3	Q.	Did you speak with any other AAA representative	
4	at any t	ime after that related to this loss?	
5	A.	No.	
6	Q.	So all other conversations between AAA and	
7	anybody	representing you would have been Ian; is that	
8	correct?		
9	A.	Correct.	
10	Q.	And after the letter dated July 19th, 2018, was	
11	sent, di	d Ian share this letter with you?	
12	A.	I'm pretty sure he did. I believe so.	
13	Q.	Do you remember talking about the expert report	
14	with Ian	after this letter was sent?	
15	A.	Yes.	
16	Q.	Do you remember Ian saying anything about that	
17	expert report?		
18	A.	About our expert?	
19	Q.	Yes.	
20	A.	He did talk about it.	
21	Q.	And what was his reaction to this letter or his	
22	comments	in regards to the engineering report?	
23	A.	They did not agree.	
24	Q.	What did not agree?	
25	A.	I	



- A. I did not. I was suggested not to, and I had no reason to talk to Alan at that point.
- Q. So I understand that Ian has told you that Alan was unprofessional, but I'm trying to establish when AAA was unprofessional with you directly.
  - A. When Heather called me.
- Q. And what was it on that phone call that was unprofessional, in your opinion?
- A. The raised voice, repeating the same things over and over again, like was I not listening. I don't know. Like being scolded by a teacher or something, without an instruction why or what to do.
- Q. Okay. And I do appreciate your testimony in that regard.

Besides the conversation with Heather, can you tell me about any other times in which AAA acted unprofessionally toward you or your wife?

- A. No.
- Q. I know I handed you the petition, but I'm going to backtrack on that a little bit. I'd actually like to talk first about answers to written discovery.

Do you happen to know what written discovery is in regards to a lawsuit? It's not a trick question.

A. You're asking for certain pieces of information



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

February 21, 2020 181

Q. (BY MR. HEFNER) Let me ask it this way: As we sit here today, do you think your policy does, in fact, cover constant and repeated leakage of water?

MS. MACKEY: Same objection.

- A. I do not feel it would cover it over years of time, but I believe there would be a time frame to where it would be acceptable. And that is not clear.
- Q. (BY MR. HEFNER) Request for Admission Number 14 -- this is Page 4 of the document that I'm referring to -- it asks: Admit Defendant timely carried out the claims-handling process.

Response: Admitted.

So, sir, you've already admitted in this lawsuit that the Defendant timely carried out the claims-handling process.

So when Heather first took your phone call or placed a phone call out to you, is it your testimony that AAA did timely respond to your claim?

- A. I believe they did.
- Q. Wouldn't that be a professional way to act?
- A. That part of it, yes.
- Q. So you don't have any complaint about the time frame in which AAA carried out their investigation, do you?
  - A. No.



2

3

4

5

6

Beyond that, I'm not sure.

- Q. And I believe that Mr. Rupert provided some estimations. I'm turning to what has been previously marked by the Plaintiffs as Covington 194 through Covington 196, and it is a letter to CSAA from Ian's Enterprise on behalf of the insured dated Tuesday, July
- 7 | 10th, 2018.
  8 | On Page Covington 195, there are some
- 9 dollar figures sort of in the bottom middle of the page.
  10 Do you see those figures, sir?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. And just to read, it says that the mitigation costs are estimated at \$13,641.32.
- Has any mitigation actually taken place on this subject property after August 8, 2017?
- 16 A. No.

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Is there a reason why mitigation hasn't taken place?
- A. We were waiting to see what was going to happen, and didn't feel that -- we knew there may be some more damage that does happen, but -- so we're not concerned about it at this point.
- Q. Do you think the floor is worse today than it was in May of 2018 when Ian first saw it?
  - A. A little.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Flooring, correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. So they sent out their own field adjuster, they sent out a plumber, and they sent out a flooring quy.

What more could they have done that would have made you think that their investigation was proper?

- A. I think that Hi-Tech Plumbing was probably in their pocket, my own personal opinion, and stated what they wanted to hear.
- Q. Do you have any facts or evidence to back up that allegation?
- 12 A. I do not.
  - Q. So because, you know, what's going to be presented to the jury is not going to be allegations; it's going to be facts or evidence that lead the jury to conclude facts.

Do you have any evidence that Hi-Tech Plumbing was in the back pocket of AAA?

- A. I don't.
- Q. Beyond sending out the field adjuster, the plumber, and the flooring guy, what do you think AAA should have done after that?
  - A. They could have hired an engineer.
- Q. You think an engineer was necessary to assess the damage to the flooring?



February 21, 2020 202

- I don't believe that the flooring man really 1 2 gave them very much information. So when you talk about 3 they hired a flooring guy, it said it was wet under there and there was no glue and there was no nails, and 4 that's all I remember him saying. So he kind of agreed 5 with the fact that there was no glue on the floor. 6 7 Beyond that, he pulled up one piece in the bedroom and did nothing else. 8
  - Q. Your own engineer said there was no glue on the floor as well, though, right?
  - A. I'm not disputing that. I'm saying that the flooring guy didn't have a lot to offer.
  - Q. Okay. But what could an engineer working for AAA have identified that your own engineer did not identify?
  - A. I don't know.
    - Q. As a result of what you allege is bad faith on the part of AAA, have you suffered any financial loss?
      - A. Not up to this point, no.
  - Q. As a result of the actions that you allege are bad faith on the part of AAA, have you felt any embarrassment?
- 23 | A. Yes.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

- Q. Okay. Describe that for me.
  - A. The conversation with Heather Davis. And I was



1	Q.	in the public?	
2	A.	Not in front of any other people besides my	
3	wife and	my brother-in-law.	
4	Q.	Have you suffered any mental pain or suffering	
5	from the	actions of AAA that you allege constitute bad	
6	faith?		
7	A.	Not that I'm aware of.	
8	Q.	So no nightmares?	
9	A.	No.	
10	Q.	Have you been to a counselor about any of these	
11	events?		
12	A.	No.	
13	Q.	Have you ever been to a counselor for any	
14	reason?	What I mean by "counselor" is psychologist,	
15	psychiatrist, that sort of thing.		
16	A.	No.	
17	Q.	Turning back, Mr. Covington, to the petition	
18	we've been making our way through, Page 4, the top of		
19	the page,	subheading that's listed "Punitive Damages."	
20		Based on your own knowledge, do you know	
21	what puni	tive damages are?	
22	Α.	Not exactly, no.	
23	Q.	I'll represent to you punitive is sometimes	

used in place of the word "punish," and so it could be

considered damages that punish somebody for the actions.



24

5

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

February 21, 2020 205

- 1 And that's in excess of the damages that you say you've 2 suffered for the breach of contract.
- So before I talk about the punitive damages, I'd like to ask you what damages you're
- 6 bad faith that you think AAA did in this case? So I'm

alleging you have suffered as a result of the actions of

- 7 not talking about punitive. I'm talking about bad 8 faith.
  - What damages have you suffered as a result of what you allege are AAA's bad faith actions?
    - A. I don't know.
- Q. Is there anything you can tell me about their bad faith actions that has affected you adversely, their actions that you allege are bad faith? Let me rephrase that.
  - A. Their lack of professionalism. Beyond that, I'm not sure.
  - Q. I understand the lack of professionalism. But what I'm trying to ask you is how that lack of professionalism that you allege occurred in this case, how did that damage you?
  - A. I don't know.
  - Q. Because we've talked about contractual damages, and that's probably a little more clear, right? There's a contract. You say they should have paid for the



February 21, 2020 

repairs that haven't even taken place yet, but if they did, AAA should pay for those. And so it's kind of easy to understand what contractual damages are. There's a contract; they should pay; they don't pay. Those are damages.

Bad faith is a little bit tougher, and that's why I'm asking about, for instance, financial loss because of the bad faith actions, and you said there was no financial loss. I asked you about mental pain and suffering. You said there's no mental pain and suffering. You did mention embarrassment, and it was only between you, your wife and your brother-in-law.

So has there been a time in which you've talked to a neighbor and you were embarrassed about the situation, or you went to try to get a loan and you couldn't because of the actions of AAA that you allege are bad faith, anything like that that you can tell me about?

- A. Well, we are going to have to pay for the floor to be repaired, so that is damages that are oncoming.
- Q. Right. But we've talked about that's the breach of contract claim that you're making.

I'm talking about the actions that you say were unprofessional. Because you're asking for a separate set of damages. You're saying there's a



2.2

February 21, 2020

contract; I'm owed this; but for the bad faith, I'm owed this.

So I'm trying to understand what it is you're going to ask a jury for in regards to the bad faith actions you allege occurred in this loss?

- A. I'm not sure.
- Q. Okay. So turning back to Page 4 with the punitive damages. So we've talked about the contractual damages. We were able to identify some numbers. Maybe that changes. That's fine. I understand a jury could award you anything.

You weren't able to tell me about bad faith damages. And now we're turning to punitive damages.

MS. MACKEY: Object to the form.

Q. (BY MR. HEFNER) On punitive damages, it says here: The Defendant's actions in denying any payment for the covered claims for the Plaintiff is unreasonable, intentional and in total disregard for the rights of Plaintiffs, thus entitling Plaintiffs to punitive damages from Defendant.

Next paragraph: Defendant should be punished for its unreasonable actions, and Defendant should be made an example of so that other insureds similarly situated are dissuaded from taking the same unreasonable action of this Defendant.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

25

February 21, 2020

- Q. Because the law in Oklahoma is that an insured has a duty to mitigate damages; they don't need approval from an insurance company to do so. I'll represent that to you and, of course, listen to your counsel and not me on that. But that duty to mitigate arises the moment a loss occurs. It doesn't require an insurance company to allow you to mitigate.
- So I just want to be clear. At any time, did AAA tell you to not mitigate your damages?
- 10 | A. No.
  - Q. We've been talking about damages. Have you ever lost any wages, any income from work, because of the loss that we're talking about today?
    - A. I've had to take off time to speak with people.
  - Q. Are you making a claim for lost wages in this lawsuit?
- 17 A. Not that I know of.
- Q. Do you intend on doing so in front of the jury if it goes to trial?
  - A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Q. Do you feel like you've lost any reputation in the community as a result of what you allege AAA's bad faith actions are?
- 24 A. No.
  - Q. Is there anyone out there that thinks less of

